Practitioner's Docket No.	01-212/1P	PATENT
IN THE UNITED ST	TATES PATENT AND T	RADEMARK OFFICE
Filed: July 7, 2003	Examiner: Da IARIZING UPPER SURFAC	rd Schinella, Zhihai Wang, and 13 vid S. Blum E OF DAMASCENE WIRING STRUCTURE
*NOTE: Insert name(s) of all invent	RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		JAN 1.8 2005
CERTIFICAT	ION OF FACSIMILE T	RANSMISSION
		csimile transmitted to the Patent ) on the date shown below:

John P. Taylor (type or print name of person signing certification) January 18, 2005 Date

APPLICANTS' RECORD OF SUBSTANCE OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

7 Pages (Including Cover Page)

(Certification of Facsmile Transmission [8-7])

JAN-18-2005 12:22 FROM: JOHN P. TAYLOR

This application is a Continuation-In-Part application of Catabay et al. Serial No. 09/941,440 and a Continuation-In-Part application of Schinella Serial No. 10/008,959. This application was filed with claims 1-47, and has had no prior Office Action. During the telephone interview of December 6th, Examiner Blum stated that he would allow claims 1-16 if Applicants' Attorney would authorize him to cancel claims 17-47 by Examiner's Amendment. Applicants' undersigned attorney, in response to this proposal by the Examiner, requested that he be permitted an opportunity to review the claims and to discuss them with Applicants' assignee.

Applicants' Attorney then, on December 7th, called Examiner Blum back and authorized him to cancel claims 17-47. Applicants' Attorney further requested that Examiner Blum, in his proposed Examiner's Amendment, correct a minor typographical error in line 10 of claim 16 to delete -,bafter "layer" and before ";"". Having agreed upon the cancellation of claims 17-47 and the minor correction in claim 16, Applicants' Attorney authorized the Examiner to prepare and enter such an Examiner's amendment.

## APPLICABLE ITEMS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETE AND PROPER RECORDATION OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

- 1. There were no exhibits.
- 2. Claim group1-16 and claim group 17-47 were discussed during the interview.
- 3. No specific prior art was discussed.
- 4. Identification of the principal proposed amendments are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 5. The only issues discussed with the Examiner during the course of the interview are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 6. No pertinent matters were discussed other than those discussed in the interview listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 7. The general results or outcome of the substance of the interview are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.

#### **FEES DUE**

(951) 303-1416

It is the Applicants' Attorney's opinion that no fees are due for the filing of this response. However, if fees are deemed to be required, please charge the amount due to Deposit Account No. 12-2252. A duplicate of this paper is included.

Respectfully Submitted,

John P. Taylor Reg. No. 22,369 (951) 303-1416

### Mailing Address:

c/o Sandeep Jaggi, Chief Intellectual Property Counsel LSI LOGIC CORPORATION
Legal Department - IP
1621 Barber Lane, MS D-106
Milpitas, CA 95035-7458

Date: January 18, 2005

JAN-18-2005,12:23 FROM: JOHN P. TAYLOR



## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

JAN 1 8 2005

In re Application of: Wilbur G. Catabay, Richard Schinella, Zhihai Wang, and Wei-Jen Hsia

Serial No .:

10/614,776

Filed:

July 7, 2003

For:

A PROCESS FOR PLANARIZING

UPPER SURFACE OF DAMASCENE WIRING STRUCTURE FOR

INTEGRATED CIRCUIT STRUCTURES

Group Art Unit: 2813

Examiner: David S. Blum

Attorney Docket No.: 01-212/1P

CUD	TOTAL	CATI	POP	MA	ПТ	NC

t hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No.: (703) 872-9319) on the date shown

January 18, 2005

John P. Taylor, Reg. No. 22,369

January 18, 2005
Date of Signature

Date: January 18, 2005

## APPLICANTS' RECORD OF SUBSTANCE OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Honorable. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

On or about December 6th, 2004, Examiner David Blum telephoned Applicants' Attorney with a proposed Examiner's Amendment for this case. While the subsequent Examiner's Amendment and Interview Summary (PTOL-413), prepared and filed by Examiner Blum, appear to Applicants' Attorney to satisfy the requirements of MPEP Section 713.04; 37 CFR 1.133 Paragraph (b); and 37 CFR 1.2 regarding preparation and recordal of a summary of the substance of an interview, Applicants' Assignee, (LSI Logic Corporation), has specifically requested that Applicants' Attorney prepare and make of record this APPLICANTS' RECORD OF SUBSTANCE OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW.

This application is a Continuation-In-Part application of Catabay et al. Serial No. 09/941,440 and a Continuation-In-Part application of Schinella Serial No. 10/008,959. This application was filed with claims 1-47, and has had no prior Office Action. During the telephone interview of December 6th, Examiner Blum stated that he would allow claims 1-16 if Applicants' Attorney would authorize him to cancel claims 17-47 by Examiner's Amendment. Applicants' undersigned attorney, in response to this proposal by the Examiner, requested that he be permitted an opportunity to review the claims and to discuss them with Applicants' assignee.

Applicants' Attorney then, on December 7th, called Examiner Blum back and authorized him to cancel claims 17-47. Applicants' Attorney further requested that Examiner Blum, in his proposed Examiner's Amendment, correct a minor typographical error in line 10 of claim 16 to delete -,b-after "layer" and before ";"". Having agreed upon the cancellation of claims 17-47 and the minor correction in claim 16, Applicants' Attorney authorized the Examiner to prepare and enter such an Examiner's amendment.

# APPLICABLE ITEMS REQUIRED FOR COMPLETE AND PROPER RECORDATION OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

- 1. There were no exhibits.
- 2. Claim group 1-16 and claim group 17-47 were discussed during the interview.
- 3. No specific prior art was discussed.
- 4. Identification of the principal proposed amendments are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 5. The only issues discussed with the Examiner during the course of the interview are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 6. No pertinent matters were discussed other than those discussed in the interview listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.
- 7. The general results or outcome of the substance of the interview are listed in the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner.

JAN-18-2005:12:23 FROM: JOHN P. TAYLOR

#### **FEES DUE**

It is the Applicants' Attorney's opinion that no fees are due for the filing of this response. However, if fees are deemed to be required, please charge the amount due to Deposit Account No. 12-2252. A duplicate of this paper is included.

Respectfully Submitted,

John P. Jaylor Reg. No. 22,369 (951) 303-1416

## Mailing Address:

c/o Sandeep Jaggi, Chief Intellectual Property Counsel LSI LOGIĆ CORPORATION Legal Department - IP 1621 Barber Lane, MS D-106 Milpitas, CA 95035-7458

Date: January 18, 2005